

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0851-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

<b>TRANSMITTAL FORM</b>  (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	10/040,438
	Filing Date	January 9, 2002
	First Named Inventor	Nagashima, Hiroki
	Art Unit	2625
	Examiner Name	Kassa, Yosef
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	13877

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment / Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Response to Restriction Requirement
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <b>Remarks</b>    </div>		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT			
Firm	Dowell & Dowell, P.C.		
Signature			
Printed Name	Ralph A. Dowell		
Date	02/24/2005	Reg. No.	26868

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.			
Signature			
Typed or printed name		Date	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



For : IMAGE-EFFECT METHOD AND IMAGE  
INTERPOLATION METHOD

Examiner: Kassa, Yosef

The traversal of the restriction requirement is based on a lack of a showing in the Restriction Requirement of the serious burden that would be imposed if all the claims were examined in a single application. It is respectfully submitted that the

- 2 -


search and examination of the claim Groups could be made without serious burden. MPEP § 803 indicates that "if the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions". Specifically, although it is alleged that the distinct inventions "have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification," MPEP §808.02(1) requires not only that the distinct inventions have acquired separate status in the art by showing their separate classification, but also that "a separate field of search" is required. It is respectfully submitted that no such showing is made out in the Restriction Requirement. To the contrary, although Applicant's counsel will not claim to be skilled in using the Manual of Classification, it appears that it would be necessary to search the claims in the Groups in each of the subclasses listed on page 2 of the Restriction Requirement to fully search these inventions. For this reason, it does not appear that a prima facie showing of the need for restriction of the claims in Groups I and II has been established, and in the absence of such a showing, Applicant traverses and requests reconsideration of the restriction requirement.

- 3 -

Should the Examiner believe anything further is desirable in order to place the application in better condition for examination on the merits and allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

By



Registration No. 26868

Ralph A. Dowell

Dowell &amp; Dowell, P.C.

Suite 406

2111 Eisenhower Avenue

Alexandria, VA 22314

U.S.A.

Telephone: 703-415-2555

Dated:

02/24/2005